



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/640,629	08/17/2000	Yushi Ihara	450100-02651	450100-02651 5141	
20999	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/640,629	IHARA, YUSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Stephen M Brinich	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2004</u> .					
	s action is non-final.				
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	_				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
255 the ditablica detailed embe detail for a list of the defined doples flot received.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) 🔯 Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	PTO-413) te. <i>8/25/05</i> .			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga et al in view of Kim or Lee.

Re claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, & 11-12, Fukunaga discloses a printing arrangement (Abstract; Figures 1A-2 & 24-25; column 21, lines 13-54; column 24, line 13 - column 25, line 5) in which image data obtained from outside is processed into desired still image data by a printer under the control of a computer executing a stored program. A printing state information request (GetStatus; column 24, lines 27-29) is generated and sent to the printer via the use of a function control protocol (FCP), specifically, the AV/C protocol, and in response printing state information is generated by the printer and transmitted to the computer. Communication between the computer and printer are sent over an IEEE 1394 interface (and thus the commands and

Art Unit: 2624

image data so communicated are necessarily in the form of IEEE 1394 packets).

Re claims 2, 4, 8, & 10, Fukunaga does not specify the sequence in which available commands are transmitted. The recitation of claims 2, 4, 8, & 10 would be met in any case where a sequence of commands is transmitted including a GetStatus command followed later by a PrintStart command (column 24, line 32), PrintStop command (column 24, line 34), or image data sequence.

Fukunaga does not disclose the display of printing status or the specific inclusion of a printer jam indication as part of the printing status information. The detection, communication, and display to a user of a printer jam indication is well known in the art as disclosed for example by Kim (column 3, lines 26-30) or Lee (column 4, lines 62-64). The use of such a printer jam indication and display in Fukunaga in order to prevent the user from wasting time by sending unprintable jobs and to alert the user of the need to correct the paper jam would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see Response filed 08/25/04, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 5-6 & 12 under 35 USC \$102 and claim(s) 1-4 & 7-11 under 35 USC \$103 have been fully

Art Unit: 2624

considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fukunaga et al in view of Kim or Lee.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

5. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 09/640,629

Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Art Unit: 2624

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Art Unit 2624 Page 6

smb swl August 25, 2005

THE LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER